

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office.

A Committee of the Comm

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNLY DOCKETNO	CONFIRMATION NO
09 845.511	04 30 2001	Lawrence J. Thomas	TCS-414 2P US-1	4099
29425	590 07 16 2002			
LEON R. YANKWICH			EXAMINER	
130 BISHOP A	& ASSOCIATES ALLEN DRIVE		NGUYEN, DAVE TRONG	
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139			ARTINI	PAPER NEMBER
			1632	î L
			DATE MAILED: 07 16 2002	4 *

Please find below and or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/845.511

THOMAS LAWRENCE J

Examiner

Art Unit

Dave Nguyen

1632

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -- Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE	1 MONTH(S) FROM
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	_ , ,

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CER 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

- If the - If NO - Faile - Any	(SD (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication be period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maring date of this communication ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133) reply reply reply the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any edipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b).
Status	
1)[🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 November 2001</u> .
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>17-35</u> is/are pending in the application.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.
61	Claim(s) is/are rejected.
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8)[\implies	Claim(s) <u>17-35</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applicat	ion Papers
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are _a [] accepted on b [] objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) beine dimabeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
* 5	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
	The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachmen	t(s)
1	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Reliew (PTO-945) matien Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Noise definite in ev. Summary (PTO-413) Paper Noise Summary (PTO-413) Paper Noise Other I detailed action

Serial Number: 09/845,511 Page 2

Art Unit: 1632

Election/Restriction

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I. Claims 31-35, embracing prophylactic methods of using a plasmid-based vaccine of increasing the level of HDL, reducing the level of endogenous CETP, increasing antibodies to CETP, or treating any cardiovascular disease in a human or other animal in need of treatment thereof, classifiable in class 514, subclass 44.

Group II. Claims 31-35, embracing therapeutic methods of using a plasmid-based vaccine of treating any cardiovascular disease in a human or other animal in need of treatment thereof, classifiable in class 514, subclass 44.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Group I claims require a prophylactic effect and/or vaccine effect which is main technical feature for the use of the plasmid-based vaccine, whereas Group II claims are only directed to therapeutic treatment method of treating any existing cardiovascular disease in a human and any animal in need of the treatment.

Should Group I or II be elected. claims 1-30 will be examined together with the elected Group.

In addition, Should applicant elect Group I claims or Group II claims, claims 1-35 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising:

Serial Number: 09/845,511 Page 3

Art Unit: 1632

A specifically named broad range helper T cell epitope cited in the Markush group of claim 19. 24. or 29. The members cited for in the Markush group are structurally distinct and thus do not have unity of an invention. A search for any of the members of the Markush group does not necessarily overlap with that of another member in the group.

Should any of the above Groups and/or species as required be elected, the claims of the respective elected claimed invention are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising:

A specifically named nucleotide sequence as claimed in claim 32 or 33:

A specifically named nucleotide sequence as claimed in claim 34 or 35.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Each of the species that is listed or claimed does not share a structural feature in common with respect to their site of action. Thus, the requirement of unity of the invention is not fulfilled.

Applicant is required to elect a particular group and species a even though this requirement is traversed. Note that the species election must be complete in order for the examiner to conduct any meaningful search of the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because of the reasons given above, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Serial Number: 09/845.511 Page 4

Art Unit: 1632

particularly since it would be unduly burdensome for the examiner to search and/or consider patentability of all of the claims as presently pending.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications regarding the formalities should be directed to Patent Analyst Dianiece Jacobs, whose telephone number is **(703) 305-3388**.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner *Dave Nguyen* whose telephone number is **(703) 305-2024**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, *Deborah Reynolds*, may be reached at **(703) 305-4051**.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center number is **(703)** 305-7401.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the *Group receptionist* whose telephone number is **(703) 308-0196**.

Dave Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1632

HAME I MONTER